Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board

2017-2018 Report

This report was prepared by the staff of the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board to comply with Section 4(c) of the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Act of 1937, as amended, which requires that the board notify the governor of its caseload and activities. Interpretation of case law should not be construed as an official statement of board policy nor should it be offered as authority for any legal position. For copies of older reports, please contact (717) 787-1091.

Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board

James M. Darby, chairman Albert Mezzaroba, member Robert H. Shoop, member

Central Office

651 Boas Street, Room 418 Harrisburg, PA 17121-0750 Telephone: 717-787-1091

Nathan F. Bortner, Board secretary

Warren R. Mowery, Jr., Chief counsel

Regional Office

301 5th Avenue, Suite 320 Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2438 Telephone: 412-565-5318

Dennis R. Bachy, Administrative officer

www.dli.state.pa.us/plrb

Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Equal Opportunity Employer/Program

Table of Contents

Introduction	
Board Responsibilities	
Representation cases Unfair labor practice cases Impasse resolution cases Inquiries and assistance	
Total Case Summary	
Unfair labor practice cases Representation cases	

Summaries of Board Final Orders

Summaries of Court Opinions

Introduction

This report explains the roles, responsibilities, and activities of the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board (Board) during the 2017 and 2018 calendar years. The report contains summaries of board final orders and court opinions issued during those years; discussions and statistics on the Board's caseload; and case-processing activities for each of the statutes administered by the Board.

The Board is composed of three members who are appointed by the governor and confirmed by the Senate to serve six-year terms, staggered at two-year intervals. The staff in the central Harrisburg office and the regional Pittsburgh office is responsible for the Board's administrative, operational, and adjudicative activities, while the three-member Board decides appeals of staff determinations and hearing examiner orders.

The Board is responsible for administering and enforcing four laws concerning labor-management relations.

The <u>Pennsylvania Labor Relations Act</u> (PLRA), which created the Board in 1937, encourages the peaceful resolution of private-sector industrial strife and unrest through collective bargaining between employers and their employees. The PLRA protects employees, employers, and labor organizations engaged in legal activities associated with the collective bargaining process. The Board's private-sector jurisdiction is now very limited and only consists of Pennsylvania-based employers and their employees not covered by the <u>National Labor Relations Act (NLRA)</u>, often referred to as the Wagner Act. Passed in 1935, the NLRA served as a precursor and model of the PLRA.

Most of the Board's jurisdiction is in the public sector. The <u>Public Employe Relations Act</u> (PERA), enacted in 1970, extends collective bargaining rights and obligations to most public employees and their employers at the state, county, and local government levels, and vests the Board with administrative authority to implement its provisions.

A 1977 decision of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court further expanded the Board's jurisdiction to include representation and unfair practice issues arising from Act 111 of 1968 (Act 111), which grants collective bargaining rights to police officers and firefighters.

Act 88 of 1992 (Act 88) provides specific bargaining and impasse procedures for school employees and employers. Under Act 88, the Board is required to make fact-finding appointments under certain circumstances and within specific timeframes. Act 88 also provides that mandatory arbitration will be implemented after a strike has reached the point where 180 days of instruction can no longer be provided by the last day of school or June 15, whichever is later.

Board Responsibilities

Although specific provisions may vary, the Board's basic duties are similar in public and private-sector cases. The Board has the responsibility to determine the appropriateness of collective bargaining units and certify exclusive bargaining representatives, as well as the authority to remedy and prevent unfair labor practices. In addition, for public employees other than police and firefighters, the Board plays a role in the resolution of collective bargaining impasses.

Representation Cases

In accordance with each collective bargaining act, employees may organize in units represented by employee organizations of their own choosing for the purpose of bargaining collectively with their employers concerning wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment. Under PERA, units of first-level supervisors may also be organized in order to "meet and discuss" with their employers concerning issues that are bargainable for other employees. One of the Board's major functions is to determine the appropriateness of collective bargaining units, based on guidelines established in each act, case law, and policy. The Board then conducts secret ballot elections to determine whether a majority (or 50% under Act 111) of employees in an appropriate unit wish to be represented by an employee organization. Employees or employee organizations seeking representation must file a petition supported by a showing of interest of 30% of the employees in the unit.

Units may be certified without conducting elections if an employer does not question the appropriateness of a unit or the majority status of the petitioning employee organization and joins with the employee organization to request that the Board issue a certification.

Once certified as the exclusive bargaining representative, an employee organization can be decertified by the filing of a decertification petition, which must also be supported by a showing of interest of 30% of the employees in the unit. In the case of an employer-filed decertification petition, a statement or other evidence of a substantiated good faith doubt of the majority status of the representative is required. The certified representative will lose its status if it does not receive a majority (or 50% under Act 111) of the valid votes cast in an election. A certified representative can also voluntarily relinquish its status through the filing of a disclaimer of interest.

Parties may also petition the Board to amend an existing unit to include or exclude positions. This procedure, called a Unit Clarification, is used to allocate newly created positions and to determine managerial, supervisory or confidential status of a position. The Board may also amend a previously issued certification to reflect a change in the name or the affiliation of an employee representative.

Unfair Labor Practice Cases

The Board enforces and protects the rights of parties to organize and bargain collectively through adjudication of charges of unfair labor practices and direction of remedies if such practices are found. Both the PLRA and PERA outline the unfair practices prohibited by employers, employees, and employee organizations. The unfair practice prohibitions in the PLRA are applied to police, firefighters and their employers under Act 111.

The Board's <u>Rules and Regulations</u> authorize the Board Secretary to issue complaints in unfair practice charges when it is determined that a sufficient cause of action is stated in the charge. After a complaint is issued, the case is assigned to a hearing examiner for further investigation. Conciliation can be used for the purpose of arriving at a settlement of the case without a formal hearing. Should conciliation fail, the case proceeds to a formal hearing.

At the hearing, a representative of the party that filed the charge prosecutes the case before a hearing examiner. Both parties have the opportunity to present testimony and documentary evidence and cross-examine witnesses. After a hearing, the hearing examiner issues a decision called a Proposed Decision and Order containing a statement of the case, findings of fact, conclusions of law, and an order either dismissing or sustaining the charge. If the charge is sustained, appropriate actions to remedy the effect of the unfair practice may be ordered. If necessary, the Board has the authority to petition the courts for the enforcement of its orders, appropriate temporary relief, or restraining orders.

Occasionally, charges are filed by public employees against employee organizations alleging violations of the union's duty of fair representation. These are dismissed for lack of jurisdiction based on a Pennsylvania Supreme Court decision that held that such actions do not constitute an unfair labor practice. Instead, these situations must be addressed in the courts by the individual. See <u>Ziccardi v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania</u>, <u>Department of General Services</u>, et.al., 500 Pa. 326, 456 A.2d 979 (1982), and <u>Narcotics Agents Regional Committee</u>, FOP, <u>Lodge No. 74 v. AFSCME and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania</u> 780 A.2d 863 (PA Cmwlth 2001). Duty of fair representation charges filed by private-sector employees are also dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, but these employees may address their allegations to the National Labor Relations Board.

Impasse Resolution Cases

The Board has certain authority relating to collective bargaining impasses between employers and employees under PERA and Act 88. Both PERA and Act 88 provide for mandatory mediation of bargaining impasses through the <u>Pennsylvania Bureau of Mediation</u>. In the event mediation is utilized and exhausted, the Board becomes involved in two types of impasse resolution processes -fact finding and arbitration.

Fact Finding

Under PERA, the Board has the discretion to appoint fact finders to attempt to settle bargaining impasses if it feels it would be beneficial. Although the language of the statute refers to "panels", in almost all cases the Board appoints a single fact finder. Once appointed, the fact finder holds hearings and must issue a report within 40 days containing findings of fact and recommendations. The parties then have 10 days either to accept or reject the report. If either party rejects the report, it is published and the parties must reconsider for 10 days to accept or reject it. If either party again rejects the report, the process is concluded without resolution.

Under Act 88, the authority for making fact-finding appointments in cases involving school employees transferred from PERA. Unlike PERA, Act 88 provides for mandatory appointment of fact-finders in certain circumstances based on timeframes contained in the act, as well as discretionary appointments.

Most of the Board's fact-finding appointments are made pursuant to Act 88. Fact-finding under PERA is limited because of a 1992 decision of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, which held that the Board lacks authority to appoint fact finders later than 130 days prior to the employer's budget submission date (<u>City of Philadelphia v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board</u>, 614 A.2d 213, 23 PPER ¶23186 (1992)).

Arbitration

The Board's other impasse resolution authority involves the interest arbitration procedures outlined in Section 805 of PERA for critical service employees who do not have the right to strike. When arbitration is necessary for negotiations involving these employees, the employer and the employee representative each select one arbitrator that must then jointly select a third, neutral arbitrator. If the arbitrators representing the parties cannot agree upon a third arbitrator, they may request a list of seven arbitrators from the Board. Each party, starting with the employer, strikes from the list until one arbitrator remains and serves as the neutral arbitrator. The panel of three arbitrators then issues an award, with the ability of an arbitrator to offer a dissent to some or all the award.

Inquiries and Assistance

Board staff frequently respond to inquiries from the press, employers, unions, and citizens regarding a wide range of questions and issues. This includes providing status updates on cases, researching and providing copies of representative certifications, researching and providing caselaw, providing analysis on proposed legislation involving collective bargaining, and explaining the Board's roles and responsibilities. The Board also frequently responds to formal requests for information under Pennsylvania's Right to Know Law.

Total Case Summary

The following pages contain information detailing the Board's activities during the 2017 and 2018 calendar years. Statistical data is provided regarding cases filed and concluded. Please note that the information contained in this report, while believed accurate, should not be relied upon for legal research.

In 2017, a total of 501 cases were filed with the Board, including 365 cases under PERA, 95 under Act 111, 34 under Act 88, and 7 under PLRA. Charges of unfair labor practices comprised over 56% of all cases filed in 2017, while 24% of the filings were representation cases. The remaining 20% of cases filed were fact-finding and arbitration requests.

In 2018, the Board received 479 cases, including 326 filed under PERA, 132 under Act 111, 17 under Act 88, and 4 under PLRA. Charges of unfair labor practices comprised over 63% of all cases filed in 2018, while 24% were representation cases. The remaining 13% of cases filed were fact-finding and arbitration requests.

Table 1: Cases Filed by Category of Employer for 2017 and 2018

Category of Employer	Year Filed	Charge of Unfair Practice	Representation	Unit Clarification	Decertification	Fact-Finding	Arbitration
Authority	2017 2018	21 15	4	2 2	1	2	0
Commonwealth	2017 2018	19 14	0	4 6	0	0	0
County	2017 2018	41 34	19 11	6 7	0 5	2	57 39
Higher Education	2017 2018	16	9	5	0	0	0
Municipality	2017 2018	105 152	19 29	16 9	12 4	1 2	0
Non-Profit	2017 2018	0	0	0	0	0	0
Private Sector	2017 2018	3	0	0	0	0	0
School District	2017 2018	77 78	3	17 28	4	34 15	0
Union	2017 2018	3 2	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2017 2018	283	55 54	50 52	17 11	39 19	57 40

UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICE CASES

In 2017, a total of 283 unfair practice charges were filed. Of these, 69% were filed under PERA, 29% under Act 111, and 2% under PLRA.

In 2018, a total of 303 unfair practice charges were filed. Of these, 62% were filed under PERA, 37% under Act 111, and 1% under PLRA.

Table 2: Unfair Practice Cases Concluded (cases do not necessarily conclude in the same year they are filed)

Cases Concluded - Charges	2017	2018
by Board Order	14	15
by Hearing Examiner Order	37	32
by Administrative Dismissal	12	2
by No Complaint Letter	70	50
by Nisi Order of Withdrawal	155	158
TOTAL	288	257

REPRESENTATION CASES

In 2017, a total of 122 representation cases were filed. Of these, 88% were filed under PERA, 11% under Act 111, and 1% under PLRA.

In 2018, a total of 117 representation cases were filed. Of these, 82% were filed under PERA, 17% under Act 111, and 1% under PLRA.

Table 3: Representation Cases Concluded (cases do not necessarily conclude in the same year they are filed)

Cases Concluded - Representation	2017	2018
by Certification of Representative	6	8
by Nisi Order of Certification	32	31
by Administrative Dismissal	6	1
by Nisi Order of Dismissal	8	3
by Hearing Examiner	11	14
by Final Order	5	7
by Nisi Order of Withdrawal	19	14
by Nisi Order of Unit Clarification	23	20
by Nisi Order of Decertification	12	5
TOTAL	122	103

	Representation Election	Decertification Election
Non-Profit	0	0
Higher Education	2	0
Commonwealth	0	0
Authority	4	0
School District	4	2
County	21	5
Municipality	14	3
Private Sector	0	0
TOTAL	45	10

Table 5: Elections Conducted, 2018

	Representation Election	Decertification Election
Non-Profit	0	0
Higher Education	2	0
Commonwealth	0	0
Authority	0	0
School District	4	0
County	10	0
Municipality	19	0
Private Sector	0	0
TOTAL	35	0

Summaries of Board Orders

The Board issues several different types of orders. The most common type of board order is a final order. Parties may appeal hearing examiner decisions by filing exceptions with the Board. After considering the exceptions, the Board issues a final order dismissing or sustaining the exceptions in whole or in part, or may remand the case to the hearing examiner for further proceedings.

Another common board order is a final order dismissing exceptions to an administrative dismissal. The Board Secretary may administratively dismiss a charge or petition if it is untimely, if it fails to state a cause of action, or if the document filed is not a signed and notarized original. Parties may appeal administrative dismissals by filing exceptions with the Board. If the exceptions are sustained, the Board issues an order remanding the case to the Board Secretary for issuance of a complaint. Otherwise, the exceptions are dismissed through issuance of a board final order.

Summaries of the final orders issued by the Board in 2017 and 2018 are provided below. Citations for the Board's orders are given as the Board's case number and the Pennsylvania Public Employee Reporter (PPER) reference.

FINAL ORDERS

American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Council 13 v. Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education, PERA-C-15-98-E, 48 PPER 58 (Final Order, January 17, 2017). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

<u>In the Matter of the Employes of Exeter Township</u>, PERA-U-16-56-E, ___ PPER ___ (Final Order, February 21, 2017). Affirmed dismissal of Petition for Unit Clarification seeking to exclude zoning officer position.

Warrior Run Education Association v. Warrior Run School District, PERA-C-16-42-E, 48 PPER 71 (Final Order, March 21, 2017). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

Allegheny County Police Association v. Allegheny County, PF-C-14-42-W, 48 PPER 72 (Final Order, March 21, 2017). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 6(1)(a) and (e) of the PLRA.

<u>International Association of Firefighters Local 840 v. Larksville Borough</u>, PF-C-16-46-E, 48 PPER 82 (Final Order, April 18, 2017). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 6(1)(e) of the PLRA.

<u>Pennsylvania State Corrections Officers Association v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Corrections, Greensburg SCI</u>, PERA-C-14-357-E, 48 PPER 87 (Final Order, May 16, 2017). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

<u>State College & University Professional Association, PSEA/NEA v. Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education</u>, PERA-C-15-299-E, 48 PPER 88 (Final Order, May 16, 2017). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

<u>Association of Pennsylvania State College and University Faculties v. Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education</u>, PERA-C-15-240-E, 49 PPER 7 (Final Order, June 20, 2017). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

<u>Schuylkill County Court Related Employees Union v. Schuylkill County</u>, PERA-C-16-342-E, 49 PPER 26 (Final Order, August 15, 2017). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

<u>Lower Moreland Township Police Benevolent Association v. Lower Moreland Township</u>, PF-C-16-85-E, 49 PPER 33 (Final Order, September 19, 2017). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 6(1)(a) and (e) of the PLRA.

<u>In the Matter of the Employes of Commonwealth of Pennsylvania</u>, PERA-D-15-101-E, 49 PPER 45 (Final Order, December 19, 2017). Affirmed dismissal of Petition for Decertification.

<u>In the Matter of the Employes of Commonwealth of Pennsylvania</u>, PERA-D-16-106-E, 49 PPER 46 (Final Order, December 19, 2017). Affirmed dismissal of Petition for Decertification.

<u>Association of Pennsylvania State College and University Faculties v. Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education</u>, PERA-C-15-263-E, 49 PPER 58 (Final Order, January 16, 2018). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA as untimely and moot.

<u>Fraternal Order of Police Lodge 13 Schuylkill-Carbon v. Jim Thorpe Borough</u>, PF-C-17-11-E, __ PPER __ (Final Order, January 16, 2018). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 6(1)(a) and (e) of the PLRA.

Amalgamated Transit Union Local 164 v. Luzerne County Transportation Authority, PERA-C-17-30-E, 49 PPER 65 (Final Order, February 20, 2018). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

<u>In the Matter of the Employes of Cumberland Township</u>, PERA-R-16-333-E, 49 PPER 66 (Final Order, February 20, 2018). Affirmed Nisi Order of Certification.

<u>In the Matter of the Employes of Commonwealth of Pennsylvania</u>, PERA-U-16-334-E, __ PPER __ (Final Order, April 17, 2018). Affirmed Proposed Order of Unit Clarification.

<u>In the Matter of the Employes of Commonwealth of Pennsylvania</u>, PERA-U-16-335-E, __ PPER __ (Final Order, April 17, 2018). Affirmed Proposed Order of Unit Clarification.

Allentown Education Association, PSEA/NEA v. Allentown City School District, PERA-C-14-408-E, __ PPER __ (Final Order, May 15, 2018). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

Allentown Education Association, PSEA/NEA v. Allentown City School District, PERA-C-14-409-E, __ PPER __ (Final Order, May 15, 2018). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

Allentown Secretarial Educational Support Personnel Association, PSEA/NEA v. Allentown City School District, PERA-C-14-421-E, __ PPER __ (Final Order, May 15, 2018). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

<u>In the Matter of the Employes of City of Wilkes-Barre</u>, PERA-U-16-345-E, 50 PPER 1 (Final Order, June 19, 2018). Affirmed dismissal of Petition for Unit Clarification seeking to exclude paramedic and chief paramedic positions.

<u>Amalgamated Transit Union Local 1279 v. Cambria County Transit Authority</u>, PERA-C-17-93-W, 50 PPER 7 (Final Order, July 17, 2018). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 1201(a)(1), (2), (3) and (4) of PERA.

Association of Pennsylvania State College and University Faculties v. Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education and Pennsylvania State Athletic Conference, PERA-C-16-297-E, 50 PPER 13 (Final Order, August 21, 2018). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (3) of PERA.

American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, District Council 83 v. Center Township, PERA-C-17-102-W, 50 PPER 14 (Final Order, August 21, 2018). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

<u>In the Matter of the Employes of Lower Swatara Township</u>, PF-R-18-13-E, 50 PPER 15 (Final Order, August 21, 2018). Affirmed Nisi Order of Certification.

<u>FOP White Rose Lodge 15 v. City of York</u>, PF-C-17-63-E, 50 PPER 18 (Final Order, September 18, 2018). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 6(1)(a) and (e) of the PLRA.

<u>In the Matter of the Employes of Warminster Township</u>, PF-U-17-80-E, 50 PPER 26 (Final Order, October 16, 2018). Affirmed Proposed Order of Unit Clarification excluding Chief of Police from the unit and including Lieutenant position.

Twin Valley Educational Support Professionals Association, PSEA/NEA v. Twin Valley School District, PERA-C-17-157-E, __ PPER __ (Final Order, November 20, 2018). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 1201(a)(1), (3) and (5) of PERA.

<u>In the Matter of the Employes of Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education</u>, PERA-R-17-302-E, PPER ___ (Final Order, November 20, 2018). Affirmed Nisi Order of Certification.

<u>Fraternal Order of Police Lodge 9 Reading v. City of Reading</u>, PF-C-18-19-E, __ PPER __ (Final Order, December 18, 2018). Sustained finding of a violation of Section 6(1)(a) and (e) of the PLRA.

FINAL ORDERS DISMISSING EXCEPTIONS TO ADMINISTRATIVE DISMISSALS

<u>In the Matter of the Employes of Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority</u>, PERA-R-16-261-E, 48 PPER 59 (Final Order, January 17, 2017). Dismissed Petition for Representation for lack of jurisdiction over alleged joint employer.

<u>Kathleen Townsend v. City of Philadelphia</u>, PERA-C-17-32-E, 48 PPER 80 (Final Order, April 18, 2017). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 1201(a)(1), (2) and (3) as untimely.

Philadelphia Community College v. Faculty Federation of Community College of Philadelphia, Local 2026, AFT, AFL-CIO, PERA-C-17-31-E, 48 PPER 83 (Final Order, April 18, 2017). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 1201(b)(3) of PERA.

<u>Shamokin Police Officers' Association v. City of Shamokin</u>, PF-C-17-35-E, 49 PPER 6 (Final Order, June 20, 2017). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 6(1)(c) and (e) of the PLRA as untimely.

<u>Fraternal Order of Police Lodge No. 44 v. City of Pottsville</u>, PF-C-17-32-E, 49 PPER 17 (Final Order, July 18, 2017). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 6(1)(a) and (e) of the PLRA.

<u>Utility Workers Union of America, Local 537, AFL-CIO v. Washington-East Washington Joint Authority</u>, PERA-C-17-264-E, 49 PPER 52 (Final Order, November 21, 2017). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

<u>In the Matter of the Employes of Ridley Township</u>, PERA-U-17-129-E, __ PPER __ (Final Order, November 21, 2017). Dismissed Petition for Amendment of Certification.

Whitemarsh Township Police Officers v. Whitemarsh Township, PF-C-17-72-E, 49 PPER 67 (Final Order, February 20, 2018). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 6(1)(a), (c) and (e) of the PLRA as untimely.

Wellsboro Area Education Support Professionals, PSEA/NEA v. Wellsboro Area School District, PERA-C-18-36-E, 49 PPER 73 (Final Order, April 17, 2018). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 1201(a)(1), (2), (3), (5) and (9) of PERA.

Borough of Glassport v. Teamsters Local Union No. 205 and Michael DeSue, PERA-C-18-137-W, 50 PPER 24 (Final Order, October 16, 2018). Dismissed allegations of violation of Section 1201(b)(3) of PERA.

Summaries of Court Opinions

The following court opinions involving board cases were issued in between 2017 and 2018. Court opinions are cited to The Pennsylvania Public Employee Reporter (PPER), published annually, and at the appellate level, the appropriate court citation is included if available.

Please note that the appellate developments for board decisions covered by this report include only those decisions issued during the reporting period; further developments will be detailed in subsequent reports.

Act 35 of 2008 (the Act of July 4, 2008, P.L. 286) removed jurisdiction over appeals from decisions of the board from the courts of common pleas. Consequently, the Commonwealth Court has first-level appellate jurisdiction over appeals of board final orders. See 42 Pa. C.S. §§ 763 and 933 (as amended).

COMMONWEALTH COURT

<u>Elease M. Elliott v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board</u>, 588 C.D. 2016, 2017 WL 817122 (Pa. Cmwlth. March 2, 2017). Affirming Board's dismissal of allegations of violation of Section 1201(a)(1), (3) and (4) of PERA.

Borough of Emmaus v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board, 1847 C.D. 2014, 156 A.3d 384 (Pa. Cmwlth. March 13, 2017), petition for allowance of appeal denied, 247 MAL 2017, 171 A.3d 1284 (Pa. September 26, 2017). Affirming Board's Nisi Order of Certification.

Quentin Salem v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board, 1930 C.D. 2016, 2017 WL 3389003 (Pa. Cmwlth. August 8, 2017). Affirming Board's dismissal of allegations of violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (3) of PERA as untimely.

<u>Erie County Technical School v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board</u>, 1818 C.D. 2016, 169 A.3d 151 (Pa. Cmwlth. August 25, 2017). Reversing Board's finding of a violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

Allegheny County Police Association v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board, 445 C.D. 2017, 2017 WL 6390868 (Pa. Cmwlth. December 15, 2017). Affirming Board's dismissal of allegations of violation of Section 6(1)(a) and (e) of the PLRA.

Exeter Township v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board, 316 C.D. 2017, 177 A.3d 428 (Pa. Cmwlth. January 12, 2018). Reversing Board's dismissal of Petition for Unit Clarification seeking to exclude zoning officer position. Petition for Allowance of Appeal granted, 92 MAL 2018, 193 A.3d 349 (Pa. September 5, 2018).

Association of Pennsylvania State College and University Faculties v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board, 966 C.D. 2017, 2018 WL 1868303 (Pa. Cmwlth. April 19, 2018). Affirming, in part, and reversing, in part, Board's dismissal of allegations of violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA. Petition for Allowance of Appeal granted, 335 MAL 2018, 198 A.3d 1048 (Pa. December 5, 2018).

Michael Cronin v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board, 537 C.D. 2018, 191 A.3d 111 (Pa. Cmwlth. July 31, 2018). Dismissing appeal from Board's denial of intervention.

<u>Schuylkill County v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board</u>, 1215 C.D. 2017, 197 A.3d 1256 (Pa. Cmwlth. November 14, 2018). Affirming Board's finding of a violation of Section 1201(a)(1) and (5) of PERA.

• • •